



EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH

March 12th, 2013

Dear Colleagues,

Last year was a very good year for NSF funding in Utah! As you might have heard, Utah has just exceeded the NSF funding level that made us EPSCoR eligible (0.75% of NSF's budget), so we are not NSF EPSCoR eligible for Fiscal Year 2013 (which began October 1st, 2012). The funding percentage is calculated on a 3-year rolling average, so can change each year as current awards expire. Iowa and Tennessee are in the same position, as seen on the attached eligibility table.

NASA and DOE base their EPSCoR eligibility on NSF's, so this impacts our eligibility for those agencies, too.

I thought I would explain how this impacts Utah's EPSCoR funding at the agencies where we had EPSCoR eligibility: NSF, NASA, DOE, and USDA.

NSF:

- Utah will continue current NSF EPSCoR awards, including the EPSCoR Research Infrastructure Track 1 award, pending continued satisfactory performance.
- For the next 3 years, Utah PIs are eligible for **NSF EPSCoR co-funding and workshop** awards, so PIs are encouraged to submit NSF proposals while this funding opportunity still exists.
- Utah is NOT eligible to apply for any additional Research Infrastructure Improvement awards (Track 2, Track X, etc.) until our percentage of NSF funding falls below 0.75%.

NASA:

- Utah can participate in the 2013 EPSCoR Research solicitation, since the NSF announcement was made after the release of the NASA EPSCoR solicitation.
- Utah will continue the recently awarded Research Infrastructure Development (RID) awards through the end of the performance period, pending continued satisfactory performance.
- Utah will continue current NASA EPSCoR awards, pending continued satisfactory performance.



EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM TO STIMULATE COMPETITIVE RESEARCH

DOE:

- DOE has not yet released their FY13 EPSCoR call for proposals yet. When they do, Utah will NOT be eligible to apply for DOE EPSCoR funds in FY13.
- Utah PIs are eligible for DOE EPSCoR co-funding for **Early Career** awards, so PIs are encouraged to submit Early Career proposals while this funding opportunity still exists.
- Utah PIs are also encouraged to attend PI meetings of their regular DOE program areas.

USDA:

- Utah PIs are eligible for USDA EPSCoR funds for FY13. USDA has its own way of calculating eligibility.
- USDA EPSCoR status only counts for application to **AFRI programs**. In the application package there is a form called AFRI Project Type where you check the box for the type of grant application. Utah would be eligible for any of those listed under FASE Strengthening. Utah PIs can also apply for sabbatical, equipment, or seed grant EPSCoR funds
- The USDA EPSCoR deadlines, LOI requirements, review, etc. are all the same as a non-EPSCoR proposal, but Utah can be funded from an EPSCoR set-aside of funds.
- Utah PIs are encouraged to send in USDA/NIFA/AFRI proposals while **FY13 EPSCoR eligibility** still exists.

Sincerely,

Rita

Rita Teutonico, Ph.D.
Utah EPSCoR State Director

A jurisdiction is eligible to participate in the NSF EPSCoR Research Infrastructure Improvement Grant Program (RII) if their most recent 3-year level of NSF research support is equal to or less than 0.75%.

| STATE | FY 2010 Research Support | | FY 2011 Research Support | | FY 2012 Research Support | | FY 2010-12 Research Support | | % of Total \$ |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------|
| | Amt \$k | Cnt | Amt \$k | Cnt | Amt \$k | Cnt | Amt \$k | Cnt | |
| Grand Total | \$5,966,805 | 20,635 | \$5,506,661 | 19,083 | \$5,630,980 | 19,483 | \$17,104,446 | 59,201 | 100.00% |
| Other | \$27,949 | 38 | \$37,131 | 47 | \$30,171 | 33 | \$95,251 | 118 | 0.56% |
| US Total | \$5,938,856 | 20,597 | \$5,469,530 | 19,036 | \$5,600,809 | 19,450 | \$17,009,195 | 59,083 | 99.44% |
| Guam | \$20 | 1 | \$366 | 3 | \$362 | 2 | \$748 | 6 | 0.00% |
| Virgin Islands | \$2,625 | 1 | \$3,463 | 2 | \$2,074 | 2 | \$8,162 | 5 | 0.05% |
| Vermont | \$7,356 | 45 | \$13,910 | 33 | \$5,537 | 34 | \$26,803 | 112 | 0.16% |
| Puerto Rico | \$15,630 | 38 | \$8,305 | 29 | \$4,852 | 18 | \$28,787 | 85 | 0.17% |
| North Dakota | \$11,307 | 42 | \$14,403 | 40 | \$5,113 | 34 | \$30,823 | 116 | 0.18% |
| Wyoming | \$10,473 | 59 | \$14,607 | 55 | \$12,954 | 47 | \$38,034 | 161 | 0.22% |
| South Dakota | \$12,254 | 42 | \$13,086 | 163 | \$13,186 | 37 | \$38,526 | 242 | 0.23% |
| West Virginia | \$11,233 | 42 | \$11,951 | 43 | \$16,138 | 39 | \$39,322 | 124 | 0.23% |
| Nevada | \$14,400 | 62 | \$14,142 | 69 | \$12,023 | 62 | \$40,565 | 193 | 0.24% |
| Idaho | \$13,017 | 50 | \$12,511 | 56 | \$16,097 | 67 | \$41,625 | 173 | 0.24% |
| Arkansas | \$19,240 | 77 | \$9,680 | 66 | \$14,060 | 66 | \$42,980 | 209 | 0.25% |
| Mississippi | \$19,182 | 81 | \$15,099 | 62 | \$13,432 | 69 | \$47,713 | 212 | 0.28% |
| Montana | \$16,383 | 83 | \$16,423 | 62 | \$17,714 | 77 | \$50,520 | 222 | 0.30% |
| Kentucky | \$18,125 | 108 | \$19,984 | 103 | \$19,113 | 97 | \$57,222 | 308 | 0.33% |
| Maine | \$21,370 | 91 | \$19,093 | 78 | \$20,522 | 69 | \$60,985 | 238 | 0.36% |
| Nebraska | \$26,540 | 92 | \$18,870 | 88 | \$19,106 | 79 | \$64,516 | 259 | 0.38% |
| Delaware | \$26,226 | 124 | \$23,761 | 115 | \$27,679 | 131 | \$77,666 | 370 | 0.45% |
| Oklahoma | \$26,368 | 127 | \$26,090 | 124 | \$26,698 | 118 | \$79,156 | 369 | 0.46% |
| Alaska | \$19,817 | 105 | \$30,206 | 108 | \$31,005 | 104 | \$81,028 | 317 | 0.47% |
| Alabama | \$24,068 | 168 | \$27,100 | 158 | \$30,486 | 174 | \$81,654 | 500 | 0.48% |
| New Hampshire | \$29,239 | 141 | \$31,005 | 117 | \$31,907 | 124 | \$92,151 | 382 | 0.54% |
| Louisiana | \$35,337 | 196 | \$32,658 | 176 | \$33,134 | 159 | \$101,129 | 531 | 0.59% |
| Rhode Island* | \$41,619 | 204 | \$28,309 | 187 | \$34,403 | 202 | \$104,331 | 593 | 0.61% |
| South Carolina* | \$35,867 | 196 | \$34,711 | 164 | \$37,806 | 184 | \$108,384 | 544 | 0.63% |
| Hawaii* | \$42,111 | 137 | \$34,294 | 126 | \$32,804 | 113 | \$109,209 | 376 | 0.64% |
| New Mexico | \$40,594 | 150 | \$35,830 | 145 | \$33,781 | 124 | \$110,205 | 419 | 0.64% |
| Kansas | \$37,177 | 140 | \$32,913 | 133 | \$44,073 | 151 | \$114,163 | 424 | 0.67% |
| Missouri | \$37,390 | 246 | \$38,051 | 232 | \$41,353 | 234 | \$116,794 | 712 | 0.68% |
| Utah | \$33,880 | 206 | \$41,671 | 185 | \$55,986 | 209 | \$131,537 | 600 | 0.77% |
| Iowa | \$44,002 | 219 | \$51,958 | 202 | \$44,249 | 205 | \$140,209 | 626 | 0.82% |
| Tennessee | \$40,751 | 184 | \$56,575 | 231 | \$63,136 | 240 | \$160,462 | 655 | 0.94% |
| Connecticut | \$53,150 | 317 | \$56,909 | 297 | \$50,928 | 279 | \$160,987 | 893 | 0.94% |
| Oregon* | \$67,137 | 316 | \$69,784 | 260 | \$58,369 | 280 | \$195,290 | 856 | 1.14% |
| Minnesota | \$78,930 | 316 | \$77,377 | 304 | \$86,299 | 332 | \$242,606 | 952 | 1.42% |
| Washington* | \$11,358 | 520 | \$102,228 | 426 | \$132,449 | 494 | \$246,035 | 1,440 | 1.44% |
| Georgia | \$105,534 | 513 | \$89,767 | 455 | \$101,141 | 482 | \$296,442 | 1,450 | 1.73% |
| Wisconsin | \$97,377 | 387 | \$101,638 | 395 | \$110,844 | 406 | \$309,859 | 1,188 | 1.81% |
| Ohio | \$101,550 | 549 | \$103,687 | 514 | \$112,750 | 540 | \$317,987 | 1,603 | 1.86% |
| New Jersey | \$123,198 | 489 | \$118,873 | 450 | \$116,201 | 469 | \$358,272 | 1,408 | 2.09% |
| Maryland* | \$117,960 | 525 | \$120,858 | 482 | \$123,564 | 481 | \$362,382 | 1,488 | 2.12% |
| Indiana | \$132,420 | 479 | \$132,133 | 459 | \$119,103 | 464 | \$383,656 | 1,402 | 2.24% |
| Florida | \$142,819 | 597 | \$127,884 | 541 | \$131,175 | 548 | \$401,878 | 1,686 | 2.35% |
| North Carolina* | \$134,082 | 631 | \$140,727 | 571 | \$158,857 | 604 | \$433,666 | 1,806 | 2.54% |
| Michigan | \$152,332 | 666 | \$164,864 | 639 | \$151,650 | 668 | \$468,846 | 1,973 | 2.74% |
| Arizona | \$165,050 | 478 | \$168,001 | 441 | \$136,269 | 439 | \$469,320 | 1,358 | 2.74% |
| Texas | \$176,559 | 1,065 | \$229,220 | 1,077 | \$212,154 | 1,070 | \$617,933 | 3,212 | 3.61% |
| District of Columbia | \$205,668 | 277 | \$181,303 | 270 | \$248,648 | 291 | \$635,619 | 838 | 3.72% |
| Pennsylvania | \$221,268 | 1,078 | \$212,660 | 1,011 | \$215,250 | 1,032 | \$649,178 | 3,121 | 3.80% |
| Virginia* | \$315,044 | 526 | \$361,102 | 531 | \$186,618 | 551 | \$862,764 | 1,608 | 5.04% |
| Colorado | \$349,199 | 559 | \$294,597 | 540 | \$277,272 | 567 | \$921,068 | 1,666 | 5.38% |
| Illinois | \$299,965 | 910 | \$325,159 | 850 | \$347,776 | 888 | \$972,900 | 2,648 | 5.69% |
| Massachusetts | \$350,789 | 1,322 | \$370,803 | 1,280 | \$384,527 | 1,282 | \$1,106,119 | 3,884 | 6.47% |
| New York* | \$368,415 | 1,577 | \$382,305 | 1,517 | \$376,491 | 1,475 | \$1,127,211 | 4,569 | 6.59% |
| California* | \$845,667 | 2,611 | \$779,432 | 2,487 | \$728,275 | 2,512 | \$2,353,374 | 7,610 | 13.76% |

***NOTE: Research support numbers were adjusted for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) and for large-scale logistical operations.**

Funding for to Raytheon Technical Services (VA) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amount of \$70,866 (2012)

Funding to the U.S. Department of Defense (CA) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amount of \$53,713 (2012)

Funding for ship operations (Hilo, HI) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amounts of \$6,614 (2010), \$3,895 (2011), and \$6,768 (2012).

Funding to the Lockheed Martin Corporation(MD) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amount of \$96,964 (2012).

Funding to Duke University, NC for Ship Operations (R/V Hatteras) to support the Oceans Program was in the amount of \$1,429 (2010), \$954 (2011), and \$1,107 (2012).

Funding to Columbia University, NY for Ship Operations (R/V Langseth) to support Oceans Program was in the amount of \$7,987 (2010), \$7,715 (2011), and \$12,257 (2012).

Funding to Oregon State University, OR Ship Operations to support the Ocean Drilling Program was in the amount of \$1,506 (2010), \$1,927 (2011), and \$2,781 (2012).

Funding to support the research vessel Endeavor (Narragansett, RI) was in the amount of \$2,254 (2010), \$2,774 (2011), and \$2,614 (2012).

Funding to the Naval Systems Engineering Center (Charleston, SC) to support the US Arctic Program was in the amounts of \$27,273 (2010), \$6,497 (2011), and \$21,090 (2012).

Funding to the Joint Institute for Computational Sciences (Knoxville/Oak Ridge, TN) was in the amounts of \$2,850 (2010), \$6,497 (2011), and \$6,726 (2012).

Funding to University of Washington, WA for Ship Operations (R/V Thompson) to support Arctic Research Program was in the amount of \$5,129 (2010), \$3,380 (2011), and \$5,095 (2012).

Source: Budget Internet Information System